### **MEMORANDUM**

To:

**Board of Regents** 

From:

**Board Office** 

Subject:

Review of the Iowa School for the Deaf Strategic Plan

**Progress Report** 

Date:

November 6, 2000

### **Recommended Action:**

Receive and review Iowa School for the Deaf strategic plan progress report.

### **Executive Summary:**

The mission of the lowa School for the Deaf is to provide comprehensive and appropriate programs and services that will enable deaf and hard of hearing students attain personal excellence. The Key Result Areas developed to achieve this mission are: Quality Teaching, Pupil Personnel and Administrative Services; Strengthening and Expanding Creative and Innovative Programming; Maintaining Facilities and Equipment with Sufficient Funding, and Accountability. Under each Key Result Area, ISD has listed objectives and indicators. Its Strategic Plan also has a list of benchmarks, for which targets have been established.

There are no changes this year in ISD's Strategic Plan. The emphasis this past year has been in additional data collection for the benchmarks (see Attachment to this memorandum, pages 14 and 15). ISD's progress report on the benchmarks is attached (see Attachment to this memorandum, pages 16-23). A number of the benchmarks have reached their targets. The ISD Advisory Committee reviewed and approved the Strategic Plan. The Superintendent has indicated to the Advisory Committee that it may be necessary to develop new targets in some areas.

ISD is preparing for accreditation visits in 2001. As part of the process of writing its self-study, ISD faculty and staff are carefully assessing how the Strategic Plan is being implemented. The ISD Advisory Committee will also review the self-study document in the spring.

### Background:

The first ISD Key Result Area (KRA), Quality Teaching, Pupil Personnel and Administrative Services, and part of the second ISD Key Result Area, Strengthening and Expanding Creative and Innovative Programming, match the first KRA of the Board on Quality. The second Board KRA, Access, is addressed, in part, through the School's efforts to provide teaching quality and innovative programming; ISD is open to all students in Iowa who are deaf or hearing-impaired. It serves such students both on-campus and in their communities in conjunction with local school districts and the area education agencies. The third and fourth Key Result Areas of ISD relate directly to the Board's KRA on Accountability.

ISD has developed 21 benchmarks which were approved by the Board in December 1998. Since that time, the ISD Advisory Committee and the president of the Board have provided input concerning the benchmarks. Some changes in language have been made to these benchmarks.

Certain benchmarks are measured by data which relate to the Board of Regents performance indicators and related Action Steps. An update of the data are provided as part of this report. They are:

- 1.1.1.2 Average undergraduate class size
- 1.1.1.4 % of faculty using instructional technology
- 1.1.1.4 % of classes in which computers are used as an integral part
- 1.1.1.4 % of faculty who use computers
- 1.1.1.4 % of students with technology accessibility as part of their Individual Education Plan
- 1.1.1.7 Special School Student Outcomes (see benchmarks)
- 1.1.1.7 Number of faculty resignations, retirements, new hires
- 1.2.1.2 State appropriations requested
- 1.2.1.4 Number of annual contributors and dollar amount of contributions
- 1.2.1.7 % of resources reallocated annually
- 3.1.2.3 Racial/ethnic composition of student, faculty, and staff populations in percentages
- 3.1.2.3 Student retention and graduation rates by ethnic/racial category
- 4.3.1.1 Deferred maintenance backlog and expenditures in millions of dollars
- 4.3.3.1 Amount of capital improvement funds requested and received in dollars

### Analysis:

Progress was made last year in refining the strategic plan. The Board Office will continue to work with the School to develop more specific indicators for future reports, and will continue to monitor progress toward the benchmarks. That process will occur as ISD prepares for its North Central Association accreditation, as well as accreditation by the Conference of Educational Administrators of Schools and Programs for the Deaf (CEASD).

A review of some of the Benchmarks indicates progress has been made over the five years from FY 1996 to FY 2000 in the following areas (see Attachment to this memorandum, pages 5 to 15):

- 1) Percent of graduates accepted for college or work -- 78%, to 91%
- 2) Percent of graduates exceeding national graduation rate -- consistent at 100%
- 12) Percent of student life-direct care) staff with post-secondary degrees -- from 39% to 49%
- 13) Sign language proficiency for faculty and staff (2 levels above the required level for position) -- from 32% to 50%
- Number, total, and percentage of faculty, staff, and teacher aides participating in external staff development activities -- faculty from 24% to 92%; staff from 89% to 100%; and teacher aides, from 0% to 55%

The Advisory Committee has asked for more clarification of the following criteria:

- 3) ISD students making achievement scores above the "national norm" (Describe the national norm tests used in specific content areas.)
- 6) IEP goals (What does it mean to have exceeded the target?)
- 7) Percent of high school students with transitional plans (What is the quality of the plans?)
- 18) Communications with families of students (Move from quantity to an evaluation based on types of communications.)
- 21) Deferred Maintenance completed (Examples requested of maintenance completed.)

The Superintendent agrees that more needs to be done in the areas of percent of faculty with advanced degrees (10) and percentage of faculty with national certification (11).

At meetings last year, the ISD Advisory Committee devoted substantial time to discussing the strategic plan and the benchmarks. At its fall, winter and spring meetings in 2000-2001, members will spend additional time addressing the vision, benchmarks, and indicators. There is particular interest in hearing the results of surveys of students and their families, and alumni, regarding their preparation for the world of work and post-secondary educational opportunities. Another point of emphasis will be improvement of the reading abilities of students. Also, a review of transitional programs will occur.

The administration of ISD provided the University of Northern Iowa with materials regarding curriculum needed by teachers of the hearing impaired. The development of the Regent universities' program for teachers of the hearing impaired and deaf is of special interest to ISD and the ISD Advisory Committee.

Rriber Approved:

Ş

h/aa/docket/2000/november/gd4d

### IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF STRATEGIC PLAN

### **MISSION**

The mission of the Iowa School for the Deaf is to enable deaf and hard of hearing children to attain personal excellence by providing comprehensive and appropriate educational programs and service.

### **BELIEFS**

- 1. Learning is a process that occurs in all aspects of life.
- 2. Deaf and hard of hearing students are the central focus of our learning vision.
- 3. Deaf and hard of hearing students deserve the most appropriate individual learning environment.
- 4. Our educational systems must be comprehensive to respond to the individual needs of deaf and hard of hearing learners.
- 5. The role and importance of deaf culture and heritage should be recognized and fostered.

### **VISION**

The Iowa School for the Deaf will be one of the best educational settings for deaf and/or hard of hearing youngsters in the United States.

The school will develop measurable standards to assess its effectiveness; and will utilize multiple criteria to demonstrate its accountability and progress toward attaining its goals and objectives.

### **VALUES**

The Iowa School for the Deaf values the pursuit of optimal educational and personal growth for each student.

### **KEY RESULT AREA**

1.0.0 Quality teaching, pupil personnel and administrative services remain a key priority at the Iowa School for the Deaf.

### **OBJECTIVE**

- 1.1.0 Meritorious teaching will be recognized each year.
  - 1.1.1. The school will fund a teacher merit salary program.
  - 1.1.2 The school will utilize the Performance Based Teacher Evaluation Program to recognize meritorious teaching.
  - 1.1.3 The school will implement programs to reward teaching excellence exclusive of the merit salary program (e.g., employee of the quarter, staff development opportunities.)
- 1.2.0 Staff development opportunities will be provided to all staff on an annual basis.
  - 1.2.1. Every two years the school will assess staff development needs.
  - 1.2.2. Each year the school will provide each staff person with at least 40 hours of staff development activities.
  - 1.2.3. Each year the school will enable at least 20% of the staff to participate in external staff development activities.
  - 1.2.4. The school will authorize at least .5% of the state appropriation for staff development activities.
- 1.3.0 Quality teaching will be fostered by providing or reallocating resources that enable the instructional outcomes stated in the IEPs to occur.
  - 1.3.1. Each year the administrative team will develop a prioritized list of recommended quality programs that will focus on the educational and development needs of the students.
  - 1.3.2. Each year the school administration will put a focus on at least one quality instructional program through reallocation of resources or receipt of new funds to implement such.

- 1.3.3. Every year the superintendent will review the various cooperative agreements with AEAs and LEAs to determine their continued appropriateness; new agreements shall be developed, as appropriate.
- 1.4.0 The Student Life Program will have opportunities for family based education.
  - 1.4.1. The student life curriculum will be incorporated into the curriculum review cycle, and modifications, as appropriate, made.
  - 1.4.2. Annually, the student life administration team will maintain staff/student ratios of 1:6 and also develop special needs staffing arrangements.
  - 1.4.3. Life skills and student enrichment activities will be provided weekly to all students.
- 1.5.0 All staff will develop and enhance communication skills commensurate with their job responsibility level.
  - 1.5.1. Every year all staff will have the opportunity to participate in a sign language class.
  - 1.5.2. At least once every five years all faculty, professional and scientific, administrative staff and institutional officials will be evaluated on their sign language proficiency.
  - 1.5.3. A merit pay provision related to sign language proficiency will be utilized for faculty, professional and scientific, and administrative staff.
- 1.6.0 Attract, develop and retain faculty, administrators and staff high in quality and diverse in gender, race, ethnicity and nationality.
  - 1.6.1. Continue to emphasize efforts to recruit underrepresented classes on the ISD campus.
  - 1.6.2. Every professional or certified job vacancy will be advertised at post-secondary programs specifically designed for the deaf.
  - 1.6.3. An emphasis will be made to recruit additional male staff for faculty and residential counselor positions.
- 1.7.0 All educational and student life staff will be encouraged to participate in continuing education opportunities.

- 1.7.1. Salary incentives and tuition reimbursement will be provided to faculty and administrative staff annually.
- 1.7.2. In conjunction with the Regent Resource Center and other area universities/colleges, provide at least five graduate courses each year that are appropriate for the school staff.

### **KEY RESULT AREA 2.0**

2.0.0. Strengthen and expand the creative and innovative programming at the Iowa School for the Deaf.

### **OBJECTIVE**

- 2.1.0. Identify unmet needs for deaf and hard of hearing students.
  - 2.1.1. By September 2001, develop and implement updated health and wellness education programs.
  - 2.1.2. Annually disseminate information on the Iowa Child Hearing Loss Center.
  - 2.1.3. Ensure that access to technology hardware and software exists in all components of the school's programs.

    Provide training, as necessary and as appropriate.
  - 2.1.4. By September 2001, have an adult/student-mentoring program.
  - 2.1.5. Continue an annual review of academic, life skills and interpersonal skill progress for academically challenged students. Revising, deleting, adding appropriate programs and services, as necessary.
- 2.2.0. Obtain requisite funding for libraries, instructional technology, and vocational education equipment required to prepare deaf and hard of hearing students for the next century.
  - 2.2.1. Fund literacy development programs.
  - 2.2.2. Continue the critical thinking skills program and the reading for information program. Measure progress and efficiency through the various evaluation assessments the school uses.
  - 2.2.3. Expand the various authentic work experience opportunities both on campus and off campus.

- 2.3.0. Student evaluation procedures will be enhanced and higher achievement/outcomes will be expected.
  - 2.3.1. Portfolios will be revised in two subject areas by September 2000 and developed in two additional areas by September 2002.
  - 2.3.2. Student achievement scores by class will exceed the national deaf norms.
  - 2.3.3. Outcomes will be documented for all students not involved with achievement testing (mentally handicapped and lower elementary).
  - 2.3.4. At least 85% of the individuals of each graduating class will transition to post-secondary educational programs or competitive work situations.

### **KEY RESULT AREA 3.0**

3.0.0. The maintenance for facilities, equipment and operating budgets will be sufficiently funded to allow the Iowa School for the Deaf to provide a safe, healthy, learning environment.

### **OBJECTIVE**

- 3.1.0. The deferred maintenance list will be updated annually.
- 3.2.0. Upon awareness, facility health hazards will be addressed and a plan formulated to remove/abate the hazard.
- 3.3.0. Update the various replacement plans annually.

### KEY RESULT AREA 4.0

4.0.0. Flexibility, efficiency, cooperation and accountability are important activities at the Iowa School for the Deaf.

### **OBJECTIVE**

- 4.1.0. An internal review cycle of selected operations, as noted in the Iowa School for the Deaf Business Office Procedural Manual, will be continued.
- 4.2.0. The Iowa School for the Deaf Employee Handbook will be reviewed annually for minor revisions. A comprehensive review will be undertaken in FY2003.
- 4.3.0. During the time block of May-June of each year, the staffing patterns of the school will be finalized in preparation for the ensuing academic year.
- 4.4.0. Participate in all appropriate inter-institutional endeavors of the Board of Regents.
- 4.5.0. Participate, where appropriate, in statewide initiatives with other state agencies.

### **BENCHMARKS**

### IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

- 1. Eighty-five percent (85%) of the non-LIFE program graduates will be accepted into a post secondary educational program or competitive work situation. [Note: LIFE program students are those with multiple handicaps.]
- 2. The graduation rate for the Iowa School for the Deaf students will be above the national graduate rate for deaf students.
- 3. On national norm achieving tests, such as the SAT, students in the ISD classes of grades 5-12 will attain achievement test scores above the national norms for deaf youngsters of the same grade level. (Excluding LIFE program students.)
- 4. Utilizing nationally normed achievement tests, such as the SAT, the students in the LIFE (multiple handicapped) program will attain at least twenty-five percent (25%) growth of a standardized year's growth.
- 5. Using criteria-referenced outcomes, and based on national norm data, at least fifty percent (50%) of the classes will attain the national average for hearing-impaired students taking the SAT (for students in third grade and above.)
- 6. At least ninety percent (90%) of all the short-term Individualized Education Plan (IEP) goals will be attained by each student.
- 7. Each student will have a transitional plan developed in high school.
- 8. Every student will attain at least eight percent (80%) of the criteria-referenced outcomes specified in the ISD curriculum.
- 9. At least seventy percent (70%) of each graduating class will have computer proficiency, as measured by criteria in the ISD curriculum.
- 10. At least sixty-five percent (65%) of the faculty will have advanced (post baccalaureate) degrees.
- 11. At least ninety percent (90%) of the faculty will attain national certification available through the Council on the Education of the Deaf (CED).
- 12. At least fifty percent (50%) of the student life staff (direct care) will have post secondary degrees.

- 13. At least fifty percent (50%) of both the educational and student life staff will be two levels or more above the required sign language proficiency level. At least ninety-five percent (95%) of the educational and student life staff will achieve minimum sign language proficiency within the first two years of employment.
- 14. Each year at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the faculty and staff will participate in external staff development activities.
- 15. Each year at least four (4) presentations will be made by faculty or staff at state/regional/national conferences.
- 16. Each year one particular aspect of the school's overall program will be given special emphasis, monies reallocated to that program, and the impact of the reallocation will be documented.
- 17. Faculty-to-student ratios will be 1:6, except for multiple handicapped students, where it will be lower (approximately 1:4).
- 18. At least thirty (30) general and student specific communications will be made with the family of each student yearly.
- 19. Under represented minority groups will represent at least eight percent (8%) of the faculty and staff.
- 20. The school will serve as many students in off-campus programs as it does in on-campus programs.
- 21. At least ten percent (10%) of the deferred maintenance projects will be completed each year.

## IOWA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF Benchmarks

% of graduates     accepted for college     or work (excluding     LIFE program	2	F Y 9 7	FY98	FY99	EY00
accepted for college or work (excluding LIFE program	78%	21%	80%	89%	91%
or work (excluding LIFE program					
LIFE program					
-					
students)					
% of graduates	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
exceeding national					
graduation rate					
	All classes at least	All classes at least two	All classes at least	All classes nearly two	Exceeded
	one standard	standard deviations	three standard	standard deviations	
res	deviation above the	above the national	deviations above the	above the national norm.	Target: exceed
for deaf children	national norm.	norm.	national norm.		national norm: 90%
(excluding LIFE	Target: exceed	Target: exceed	Target: exceed	Target: exceed national	of students exceeded
program students)	national norm.	national norm.	national norm.	mou	the norm
LIFE students	SC	NC	NC	NC	Partially Attained
achieve 25% growth					Reading Vocabulary
(academic) on					40%
normed					Reading
achievement tests					Comprehension 30%
	•••				Math 50%
					Spelling 30%
					Language 30%
Classes reach 50%	ပ	Ů Ž	S	Attained criterion	In process
of national avg. of			,	reference outcomes	
criterion-referenced			•	Partially attained -	
outcomes				National norm data	
IEP goals	62+%	%+26	%+56	95+%	Attained
Target: 90%	Target: 90%	Target: 90%	Target: 90%	Target: 90%	
% of high school	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
singents with					
transitional plans Target: 100%	Target: 100%	Target: 100%	Target: 100%	Target: 100%	Target: 100%

8) Each pupil achieves 80% of ISD cur. Outcomes (criterion refer.)	O Z	ON N	ON	Developing	Developing
% of graduating class with computer proficiency	NC	NC NC	100% Target: 70% Target: 70%	100% Target: 70%	100%
10) % of Faculty with advanced degrees	No. Total Pct. 34 54 63%	No. Total Pct. 34 54 63%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 34 59 58%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 36 62 58%	No. <u>Total Pct</u> 36 61 59%
BA+	18/20 Target: 65%	18/20 Target: 65%	19/25 Target: 65%	19/26 Target: 65%	20/25 Target: 65%
11) % of Faculty with national certification	No. Total Pct. 41 54 76% Target: 90%	No. Total Pct. 38 56 68% Target: 90%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 36 59 61% Target: 90%	No. Total Pct. 38 62 61% Target: 90%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 37 61 61%
12) % of student life 9direct care) staff with post-secondary Degree	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 13 33 39% Target: 50%	No. <u>Total Pct.</u> 12 34 35% Target: 50%	No. Total Pct. 10 30 33% Target: 50%	No. Total Pct. 16 37 43% Target: 50%	No. Total Pct. 19 39 49%
13) Sign Language Proficiency for Faculty and staff Target: 50% 2 levels or	2 levels above: 32% Target 50%	2 levels above: 32% Target 50%	2 levels above: 33% Target 50%	2 levels above: 43% Target 50%	2 levels above: 50% Target: 50%
level Target: 95% achieve minimal level within 2 ears of employment	Minimum level: 95% Target: 95%	Minimum level: 93% Target: 95%	Minimum level: 98% Target: 95%	Minimum level: 98% Target: 95%	Minimum level: 93% Target: 95%
14) No., Total, Pct. Of Faculty (F), Staff (S), and Teacher Aides (T) participating in external staff	No. Total Pct (F) 13 54 24% (T) 0 9 0%	No. Total Pct. (F) 13 56 23% (T) 0 9 0% (S) 46 52 89%	No. Total Pct. (F) 16 59 27% (T) 2 10 20% (S) 42 50 84%	No Total Pct. (F) 39 78 46% (T) 2 10 20% (S) 54 59 93%	No. Total Pct. (F) 50 54 92% (T) 5 9 55% (S) 59 59 100%
development activities Target: 25% participation	Target: 25%	Target: 25%	Target: 25%	Target: 25%	Target: 25%
15) Faculty and Staff	4	3	4	12	16
	-		,		

		<u></u>	Ţ			<del>-</del> -		Τ			<del></del>											<del></del>		L.			
	#.	Vocational Education/ Recreation Center	1:4.3		1:16.8			4		30				Pct. 27% 25%					115			-		Target: equal number	9,		10%
F	larget: 4	ational E	Elementary	Secondary	Off-campus			30+	}	Target: 30				Total 17 28				On campus	Off campus					et: equa	20%		Target: 10%
	<del>. , -</del>	Voce	Elem	Sec	<u></u>							<del></del>		No (F) 0 (S) 8				Onc	Off c					Targ			
	);; 4	ology/ tories	1:3.8	1:3.7	1:16			+		t: 30				Pct. 00.0% 40.0%	25 of 163 total faculty	5% are	ironi unaeriepresemea groups	153	93					equal number	%		10%
 	larget: 4	Technology/ Dormitories	Elementary	Secondary	Off-campus			30+	) ).	Target: 30				<u>Total</u> ) 10 ) 25	163 tota	and staff or 15% are	urioerrej is	On campus	Off campus						15%		Target: 10%
			Elem	Seco	υ Δ Δ Δ				•					(S) 0 10 0 10 0	25 of	and s	groups	Ouc	O# 02					Target:		···	
	4	nal:	1:3.5	1:3.2	S					30				Pct. 00.0% 41.2%				123	<b>*99</b>	Sn	not	counted; received as of		equal number			10%
	larget: 4	Vocational: R & M	ntary	ıdary	Off-campus			30+	3	Target: 30				Total 10 17				sndu	sndu	(*40 off campus	students were not	ed; recei			11%		Target: 10%
			Elementary	Secondary	5- 									(F) 0 (S) 7			.,	On campus	Off campus	(*40 0	studer	counte	4/12/99)	Target:			
	4	Academic: Math and Science	1:4.2	1.3.4	S N					30				Pct. 00.0% 44.4%				131	94					equal number			10%
<u> </u>	larget: 4	Academic: th and Scie	Elementary	ıdary	Off-campus			30+	}	Target: 30				<u>Total</u> 10 18				sndw	sndw		-			t: equa	7%		Target 10%
		W W	Eleme	Secondary	\$   						-			NO. (F) 0 (S) 8				On campus	Off campus					Target			
	4.	c: Arts	1:3.5	<u>ဗ</u>	2			ľ		Q				Pct. 00.0% 44.4%				124	06								%
+000	larget: 4	Academic: Language Arts	ntary	dary	sndu			30+	)	Target: 30		,		Total 10 ( 18 4				sndu	sndu				Target: equal		S		Farget 10%
F	_	A Lan	Elementary	Secondary	Off-campus					μ			:	No. (F) 0 (S) 8				On campus	Off-campus				Target	number			<u>~</u>
		asis	ent		has 3	. מכור ני כו	35   1 3rlv.]	15	<del></del>		_			nted	<del></del>			nents i	snd		pers			_		<del></del>	· · · · ·
tations	er year	n Empl	to stud		nentary	dides	ndary na ides veg	inication	with families of	s	per yea			(Underrepresente groups) in Composition of Faculty and Staff	sabled)	east 8%		t enrolln	on and off-campus	-	ial num	ambus			0	ance	ifed %
Presentations	ı arget; 4 per year	16) Program Emphasis	17) Faculty to student	ratios	[Note: Elementary has 3	Edula Edula	year, secondary ras T 3 teacher aides vearly.]	18) Communications	with far	students	Target: 30 per year			<ol> <li>(Underrepresented groups) in Composition of Faculty and Staff</li> </ol>	(includes disabled)	Target: at least 8%		20) Student enrollments	on and		larget: equal numbers	on and off campus			21) Deferred	Maintenance	Completed Target: 10%
	<u> </u>	16)	17)		Ž V	2 :	3 te	(8)	?		Tar			19)	(inc	Tarç		20)		ŀ	20.	C C			21)		Tarc

NC - Not Collected

# PERFORMANCE INDICATORS lowa School for the Deaf

	Related Governance	Report	FP	Sp	ЕP	SP	FP	A S	FP	SP		Al	and a second		· .		SP		SP			-			SP		
	lowa School for the Deaf		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable			94-95 4.0				99-00 4.2	FY96 FY97 FY98 FY99 FY00	100%	No	S	56	97-98 56 80%	61	61	95-96 NC		97-98 80%
	Related	Action Step	1.1.1		1.1.1.1		1.1.1.1		1.1.1.2		:	1.1.1.2			`		1.1.1.4		1.1.1.4						1.1.1.4		
, 2000	Performance Indicator		% of undergraduate student credit	hours taught by tenure/tenure track faculty	% of senior faculty teaching	undergraduates	% of introductory courses taught by		% of senior faculty teaching at least	one undergraduate course per	academic year	Average undergraduate class size					% of faculty using instruction	technology	No. and % of general assignment	technology equipped classrooms					% of course sections in which	computers are used as an integral	part
October 3, 2000	MGT	o N	-		2		က		4			5		·····			9				1				ω		

													,														-									
j		SP	SP	j	SP		Æ	:																			ON	No		% %	No No	S S		No		NO.
		00	00		Reference																						*									
•		FY99 FY00	1		ing, Criterion	틹		••	1.8%	(.,	1.6%	1.6%						_	1.6%				55 7.3%		_	61 3.2%		-								
	100% 100%	FY97 FY98	-				<b>⊢</b>	50 54 P		2 56	1 61	1 61	i.	U 54	. 1 55	2 55	56	4 61	1 61		_				2		eldi	ble		ble	ble	ble		ble	hla	
	0-66 0-66	FY96 FY	<u> </u>		EPs, Achie	l esting, Curriculum,	20.00	94-93	26-96 26-96	86-26	66-86	00-66	, ,	94-95	92-36	76-96	86-76	66-86	00-66	0.7	00-45 00-45	95-96	26-96	9238	66-86	00-66	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
		1.1.1.4	1.1.1.4		1.1.1.7		1.1.1.7		•					~													1.1.2.5	1,1,2,6		1.1.4.1	1.1.4.1	1.1.4.1		1.1.4.2	1.14.2	
		% of faculty who use computers	% of students with technology	accessibility as part of their IEP	Special school student outcomes		Number of faculty resignations					:	Number of faculty retirements						Number of faculty new hires								% of professional students passing licensures examinations	Average GRE composite score of	enecining grandate students	Relevant annual publication indices	Relevant citation indices	% of faculty having one scholarly	work published during last three	Sponsored funding per year	External funding proposals	
		6	10		<del>-</del>	-	12a					Š	12b					!	12c								13	14	7.7	13	16	17		18	19	

	submitted per year			
20	% of faculty as principal or co- principal investigators	1.1.4.1 and 1.1.4.2	Not Applicable	No
21	Sponsored funding per faculty member	1.1.4.2	Not Applicable	No
22	# of intellectual property disclosures	1.1.4.1	Not Applicable	No
23	# of new technologies licensed	1.1.4.1	Not Applicable	No
24	# of new licenses generating revenues and total revenues	1.1.4.1	Not Applicable	No
25	# on non-degree enrollments	1.1.4.3	Not Applicable	No
56	# of sites served by Hancher programming	1.1.4.3	Not Applicable	No
27	# of annual visits to UI health sciences centers	1.1.4.3	Not Applicable	No
28	Enrollment in credit/non-credit	1.1.4.3	Not Applicable	No
	courses offered through extended and continuing education		-	
59	# of extension clients served	1.1.4.3	Not Applicable	No
30	Availability of off-campus courses Student Enrollment	1.1.4.3	Not Applicable	No
31	State appropriations requested	1.2.1.2	ested	No
(a)	for operations			
			FY9/ 2.0% FY98 1.7%	
<u> </u>	(for capital) [See #35, below]		FY99 2.9%	
32	Growth in undergraduate tuition and fees relative to HEPI and CPI	1.2.1.3	Not Applicable	
33	# of annual contributors and dollar value of contributions	1.2.1.4	FY 96 FY 97 FY 98 FY 99 FY 90 Service Org NC \$6250 \$6250 \$10,000 Needy Children NC \$300 \$680 \$350	SP
			Foundation \$26,433 \$22,637 \$13,017 \$65,174 \$581,825 # of Donors (24) (215) (106) (327) (259) (These are cash receipts only—no pledges; the Foundation is calendar year totals—FY99 is 1998)	
34	External grants and confracts	1.2.1.5	Not Applicable	No

								•••				<u> </u>							•		<del></del> -	•••										
d'o	Budget				•		DM						SP			,		H H						FA	No		10					
-		ا	\$110,000	\$260,000	M.	\$250,000		\$0.1M	\$0.2M	\$0.1M	\$0.5M	.75M						8	06	94	99	96	112			•	All	cted	Cidoscas	100.0%	18.0%	
Δnr	20 S	•	\$11	\$26 \$26	\$3,8M	\$25(	EXD	¥ ¥	. <del></del>	<b>6</b>	¥ ¥	¥.							124			Ì	155			٠.		2	1	214	<sub>&gt;</sub> 8	
:								(FY94) (FY95)	(FY96)	(FY97)	(FY99) (FY99)	(FY00)						Md. HS									Racial &	Ethnic	Solit Callian	%/	8%	
Red	\$502,000	\$280,000	0	\$260,000	\$3.8M	\$435,000 \$435,000	Backlog	\$1.6M \$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$2.0M	\$2.5M	\$2.8M \$2.2M	S	3.1%	1.8%	2.1%	2.1%						46	licable	licable			Ž	<u>:</u>	4	, G	
	FY96	197	7.98 7.00 7.00 7.00	F 7 99	F Y 00	FY07 FY02	- L	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 97	Fall 99	Fall 00 Fall 01	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	Fy00		FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	<u>.</u>		•	FY96	Students	Staff	
4331	and	1.2.1.0					4.3.1.1	and	1.2.1.6				1.2.1.7					2.1.1.1	-					2.1.1.1	2.2.1.3		3.1.2.3					
Amount of capital improvement	funds requested and received in	(C) (a)					Deferred maintenance backlog and	expenditures in millions of dollars					% of resources reallocated annually					Fall enrollment by level and	residency (ISD – Elementary,	Middle, High School, Total on	campus and Off-campus)			# and dollar value of resident undergraduates receiving financial aid (need and non-need based)	Off-campus student enrollment in	degree programs offered through distance learning	Racial/ethnic composition of student.	faculty, and staff populations in	percellages	(ISD – first number, racial/ethnic	minorities; second number is percentade including racial/ethnic	blind, deaf, or all protected classes)
35							36						37					38			-			36	40		41					

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
·		. •		Ω	dS
100.0% 10.0% 19.0%	100.0% 10.0% 19.0%	100.0% 15.0% 25.0%	27% 27% 25%	ts are ency for pouts at	er pupil. programs.
225 6 18	189 6 21	248 10 28	267 17 28	me studen fucation ag are no dro	ok at cost p programs, rehensive p
%0 %0 %1	8% 0% 1%	8% 0% 0%	11% 0% 7%	Graduation rate is 100%. Some students are referred back to their local education agency for further programming. There are no dropouts at ISD.	There are varying ways to look at cost per pupil. Nebraska tuition, on-campus programs, mainstream programs, comprehensive programs.
20 0 8	. 17	0 0 11	. 16 0 8	on rate is vack to th ogrammi	varying tuition, e
FY97 Students Faculty Staff	EY98 Students Faculty Staff	FY99 Students Faculty Staff	FY00 Students Faculty Staff	Graduatic referred b further pro	There are Nebraska mainstres
				3.1.2.3	4.2.1.2
<b>A</b>				Student retention and graduation rates by ethnic/racial category	Cost per student
				45	43

NC - Not Collected